IN THE SUPREME COURT		Criminal
OF THE REPUBLIC OF VANUATI	J	Case No. 22/1940 SC/CRML
(Criminal Jurisdiction)		
	BETWEEN:	Public Prosecutor
	AND:	Soana Jujuala, Namania Voyasusus, Jean Jiar Apuvoki, Kiaso Apuvoki, Job Lui, Bai Voyasusu and Taerono Voysusu
		Accuseds
Date of Sentence:	24 th September 2022	
Before:	Justice EP Goldsbrough	
Appearances:	Denson, Boe for Public Prosecutor	
	Vohor, J for the Accused	

SENTENCE

- Each of these seven offenders have pleaded guilty to three offences of unlawful assembly and two offences of damage to property. One amongst them (Soana Jujuala) has also pleaded guilty to arson. The offences were all committed against one man who was family with all these men.
- 2. On 18 January 2022, all seven of these men got together at Lolaolo Village, Southwest Santo intending to damage his property. On 24 January 2022 they returned, and it was on that occasion that Soana Jujuala burnt down his house with the others standing guard whilst this was done.
- 3. On 2 May 2022, the same men assembled again led this time by Chief Bai Voyasusu intending to cause damage to the victim's property. They damaged crops such as palm trees, bananas, pineapple, manioc, coconut, spring onions and beans.
- 4. On 5 May 2022, they damaged bamboo, corn, cabbage, Fijian taro and nut trees.



- 5. The maximum penalty for unlawful assembly is 3 years, damage 1 year and arson 10 years. Those maximum penalties assist the court in deciding where the sentencing process should start, also considering aggravating features.
- 6. Making these offences worse is the impact that a group of men would have on the victim, and the fact that they decided to resolve a dispute with violence and intimidation rather than by peaceful negotiation. They behaved as bullies.
- 7. For the unlawful assembly a starting point of one year in prison is set, for the damage the same and for setting fire to the dwelling house a starting point of 2 years.
- 8. As for mitigation, credit is due for the immediate guilty pleas entered. The fact that none of these offenders had offended before is also in their favour. Little was explained to the court as the reason behind all this criminal behaviour save that at its heart there is a land dispute.
- 9. These offences do not merit immediate custodial sentences. Far better that the offenders pay back something into the community that they disturbed by paying compensation for the damage and showing true remorse. Each was asked what they can afford to pay towards this damage and compensation orders made accordingly.
- 10. Some of these men seems to have been more active than others in the offending. A Chief should know better. The man who poured fuel onto the house to make sure it burnt. Yet they all supported each other in the offending and for that reason each one will be treated in the same way.
- 11. Compensation orders are made in the sum of VT 196,000 divided equally and 1 pig with tusk by way of customary reconciliation payable to Rudolph Avou, supervised by Probation Officer from Correctional Services Department.
- 12. Orders that the offenders perform unpaid community work for 120 hours.
- 13. Finally, orders are made committing each offender to imprisonment for eight months suspended for one year. The sentence for criminal damage by fire is reduced so that all offenders receive the same final sentence.



- 14. Should any of you commit an offence punishable with imprisonment within the next twelve months, you will go to prison for this offence. Should any of you fail to perform this unpaid work you can be brought back to court and if you fail to pay compensation as order you may also be brought back to court
- 15. You have the right to appeal against this sentence and you have 14 days starting today to do that.

BY THE COURT BACALL COURT SUPREME Justice EP Goldsbrough

Dated at Port Luganville this 24th September 2022